

Testverfahren aus dem Elektronischen Testarchiv

Liebe Nutzerinnen und liebe Nutzer,

wir freuen uns, dass Sie unsere Dienste in Anspruch nehmen!


Das Elektronische Testarchiv des ZPID stellt Ihnen eine kostenfreie Sammlung von psychologischen Testverfahren aus dem deutschen Sprachraum bereit, die urheberrechtlich geschützt sind und unter der Creative Commons Lizenz CC BY-NC-ND 3.0 verwendet werden können. Sie sollen ausschließlich der Forschung und Lehre vorbehalten sein. Mit der Nutzung des Testarchivs stimmen Sie der Lizenzverpflichtung zu.

Wir möchten Sie als Nutzer eines hier heruntergeladenen Verfahrens bitten, dem Testautor/den Testautoren Rückmeldungen (siehe letzte Seite: Rückmeldeformular) zum Einsatz des Verfahrens und zu den damit erzielten Ergebnissen zu liefern. Die Anschriften finden Sie in der jeweiligen Testbeschreibung, die mit einer PSYNDEX-Tests Dokumentennummer versehen ist. Die Testbeschreibung können Sie auf unserer Seite <https://www.testarchiv.eu> herunterladen.

Falls nur Teile eines Instruments verwendet werden, sind die entsprechenden Einschränkungen hinsichtlich der Gütekriterien im Vergleich zum Einsatz des vollständigen Verfahrens zu beachten.

Viel Erfolg!

Ihr ZPID-Team



Shutdown Dissociation Scale (Shut-D) – Norsk versjon

by Maggie Schauer, Inga Schalinski og Thomas Elbert, Dept. of Psychology, University Konstanz, Germany.
Norwegian version by Thomas Jozefiak, RKBU, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, NTNU, 2018.

Jeg kommer til å stille deg spørsmål om kroppslige opplevelser som du kanskje kan ha hatt i dagliglivet siden de(n) traumatiske hendelsen(e). Vi er interessert i å vite hvordan disse plagene har utviklet seg og hvor ofte du lider av disse opplevelsene.

Bare for intervjueren: Mennesker som har vært ekstremt stresset, som overlevende i livstruende situasjoner, vil vise kroppslige reaksjoner når noe minner dem om den stressende opplevelsen. Til og med ved subtile påminnelser som en ikke er seg bevisst, kan kroppen vise en rekke sterke reaksjoner. Noen overlevende etter traumatiske hendelser opplever kroppslige reaksjoner til og med uten å legge merke til det, hver gang de blir anspent eller nervøse på grunn av noe, eller når de blir oppskaket eller minnet om hendelsene. Her er en liste over typiske reaksjoner. Spør hvilke av dem informanten kan ha opplevd i dagliglivet. Spør «i dagliglivet» (siste 6 måneder); hvis <6 måneder siden traumaet, spør «siden de(n) traumatiske hendelsen(e)». Undersøk alle positive responser og spør deretter etter hyppigheten (f.eks. «hvor ofte har dette skjedd?»).

	Ikke i det hele tatt	En gang i måned eller mindre/lite	Flere ganger i måned/noen ganger	Flere ganger i uken/ofte
1. Har du besvimt?/Har du mistet bevisstheten?	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]
2. Har du vært svimmel og har det svartnet for deg? Har du følt deg svimmel slik at du ikke kunne se lenger, som om du var blind?	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]
3. Har du følt at du ikke kunne høre noe på en stund, som om du var døv? Når folk snakket til deg, hørtes det ut som om de var langt borte?	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]
4. Har du opplevd at du ikke kunne se tingene rundt deg skikkelig (f.eks. tåkesyn)?	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]
5. Har du følt at kroppen din eller en del av kroppen din har blitt nummen?	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]
6. Har du følt at du ikke kunne røre deg på en stund, som om du var paralyisert?	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]
7. Har du følt at kroppen din eller en del av den var ufølsom ovenfor smerte (analgesi)?	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]
8. Har du vært i en tilstand der kroppen plutselig følte tung og sliten?	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]
9. Har du opplevd at kroppen din stivnet for en stund?	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]
10. Har du følt deg kvalm? Har du følt at du må kaste opp? Har du plutselig kaldsvettet?	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]
11. Har du hatt en «ut-av-kroppen» opplevelse? Har du følt det som om du var utenfor din egen kropp?	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]
12. Har du hatt øyeblikk der du ikke fikk til å snakke?/Hvor det var anstrengende for deg å snakke?/Har du hatt en opplevelse der du bare greide å hviske for en stund?	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]
13. Har du følt at kroppen din er svak eller varm uten en tilsynelatende årsak?	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]

Introduction

The Shutdown-Dissociation Scale was designed as a structured interview to allow researchers, and clinicians who have expertise in trauma-related illness, to assess the risk for dissociation in a patient. This information is useful for designing trauma-focused treatment, for related research questions and for measures to assist patients in their daily life. Additionally, the questionnaire was designed to evaluate the course of Shutdown Dissociation following up treatment. The DSM-5 (Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition) defines a dissociative subtype of Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) recognizing that those patients exhibit additional symptoms of primarily depersonalization and derealization. Similar to the concept the shutdown dissociation, the concerning subtype is linked to repeated exposure of traumatic stressors, especially those that include a high proximity to danger, usually alongside the core PTSD symptoms. Shutdown Dissociation due to the parasympathetic dominance during the (peritraumatic) final stages of the defence cascade (fright, flag and faint), is characterized by progressive symptoms of

- functional sensory de-afferentation, (including u.a. kinaesthetic, somesthetic)
- reduced nociception
- emotional numbing
- motor paralysis (tonic and flaccid immobility)
- loss of language functions/suppressed vocalization
- pseudoneurological symptoms
- (pre-) syncope

For detailed description of the Shutdown Dissociation concept see Schauer and Elbert (2010).

Quality Criteria

Reliability

Internal Consistency: Factor analysis provided evidence for unidimensionality. The first factor (eigenvalue 5.65) accounts for 43.4% of the variance, whereas the second factor (eigenvalue 1.07) accounts for 8.2% of variance. The questionnaire showed excellent internal consistency; Cronbach's alpha = .89.

Test-Retest-Reliability: The test-retest reliability index was high ($r = .93$, $p < .001$, 95% CI[0.88, 0.96])

Validity

Convergent Validity: The convergent validity of the DES (Dissociative Experience Scale, Bernstein & Putnam, 1986) and the Shut-D was assessed. The correlation of the sum scores of the Dissociative Experience Scale and the Shut-D was significant, $r = .86$, $p < .001$.

Criterion-Referenced Concurrent Validity: The Shut-D score reliably separates patients with exposure of trauma and psychopathology from healthy controls (with and without trauma exposure), but also differentiates between diagnostic groups that are associated with different amounts of trauma exposure.

Correlates of the Shut-D (Event-Related and Number of Traumatic Event Types and Symptom levels): The exposure to different traumatic event types, especially those with a high proximity to danger, enhances the variety and frequency of shutdown dissociation. Further, the Shut-D shows associations with an increased PTSD symptom severity and depression levels.

Administration/Scoring Rules

1. Use the prompt questions as written on the questionnaire; use additional questions as needed to accurately determine the frequency of the symptom.
2. Use open-ended questions to carefully inquire about the frequency. When was the last time you suffered from this symptom? When you think back over the last month, was it a rare occurrence? Have you experienced this symptom only sometimes or often? What did it mean for you?
3. It is appropriate to use information that arises later in the interview to modify an earlier rating
4. Double count symptoms: If a person reports that he/or she faints every day, the interviewer should rate all symptoms that are related to fainting (e.g. acoustic, visual and motor as well as pain perceptual shutdown)
5. Questions that are useful to distinguish between a shutdown/defensive symptom and an acute or chronic medical condition or peripheral neuropathy.
 - How long have you been suffering from this symptom?
 - Shutdown dissociation simulates central nervous system neuropathy. Peripheral neuropathy describes the damage to the peripheral nervous system. Peripheral damage affects one or more dermatomes and thus produces symptoms for specific areas of the body. In contrast, shutdown dissociation affects a part of the body (e.g. the whole hand, the whole leg) or the whole body.
6. Please consider side effects of medication, and exclude if it was due to effects of alcohol or drugs.
7. Please consider unpleasant effects that may appear at the beginning of menopause.
 - are related to fainting (e.g. acoustic, visual and motor as well as pain perceptual shutdown)

Time Frame

When completing this interview, interviewers should establish the time frame for which these Shutdown Dissociation symptoms have been reported. The interviewer should select the time frame as being over the past six months (to get an overview of the patient's suffering in their everyday life). If the trauma was less than six months ago, please ask "since the traumatic event".

Severity of Shutdown Dissociation

The total score is determined by totaling the 13 items. Scores range from 0 to 39 and represent a measure of the severity of shutdown dissociation.

Cut-off Scores

Since 2013 the DSM-5 includes a dissociative subtype of PTSD. On the basis of associative symptoms (item 29) 'Derealization' and (item 30) 'Depersonalization' of the CAPS (Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale; Blake et al., 1995), we categorized the dissociative subtype of PTSD for either one symptom meeting a cut-off >4 for the sum score of the respective intensity and frequency rating (Daniels, Frewen, Theberge & Lanius, 2016; Steuwe, Lanius & Frewen, 2012).

The ROC-analysis (receiver operating characteristic) demonstrated an excellent discrimination of dissociative versus non-dissociative individuals with the Shut-D score (AUC = 0.86. 95% CI[0.77, 0.96]). Furthermore, within the group of individuals with PTSD, the AUC indicated an acceptable diagnostic discrimination for the Shut-D score (AUC = 0.80. 95% CI[0.66, 0.93]). In addition, parameters of diagnostic accuracy (sensitivity and specificity) were retrieved to determine the optimal cut-off value of the Shut-D score. Within individuals with PTSD, high sensitivity and high specificity for the classification of the dissociative subtype is achieved at a Shut-D score of ≥ 18.5 (compare Table 1). If healthy respondents are included, an optimal sensitivity and specificity occurs at a Shut-D score of ≥ 15.5 . Therefore, a score equal to or greater than 16 appears adequate for the classification of the dissociative subtype in individuals with a PTSD diagnosis. For another sample of psychiatric patients and controls (N = 127), the Shut-D scores allowed for differentiation between pathological and non-pathological dissociation (> 30 on the DES). Therefore, the authors conclude that a score of 8 or greater indicates clinically relevant dissociative symptoms.

Table 1. Sensitivity and specificity for the Shut-D score for (A) PTSD, (B) individuals with trauma-related symptoms and controls, and (C) individuals with severe mental illness and controls

Sum Score of the Shut-D											
A. Cases with PTSD N = 52	6.5	7.5	10	11.5	12.5	13.5	15.5	17.5	18.5	19.5	21.5
Sensitivity	0.94	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.69
Specificity	0.28	0.36	0.44	0.53	0.58	0.67	0.72	0.75	0.81	0.83	0.83
B. Cases with trauma-related symptoms and controls N = 69											
Sensitivity	0.94	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.69
Specificity	0.51	0.57	0.62	0.68	0.72	0.77	0.81	0.83	0.87	0.89	0.89
C. Cases with severe mental illness and controls N = 127											
Sensitivity	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.88	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.79	0.64	0.64	0.57
Specificity	0.79	0.84	0.87	0.89	0.90	0.93	0.95	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.99

Notes. A = cases with PTSD (N = 52), B = cases and controls, N = 69: 52 with PTSD and comorbid depressive symptoms (= A) + 17 controls), C = cases with severe mental illness (n = 63) and controls (n = 64).

Conclusion

The Shut-D is a brief structured interview for assessing the vulnerability to dissociate as a consequence of exposure to traumatic stressors based on the defense cascade model. The scale showed excellent internal reliability as well as retest reliability, high convergent validity, and satisfactory predictive and discriminatory validity. The psychometric properties justify the assessment of shutdown dissociative responding following traumatic experiences (with different proximity to danger), and the awareness of shutdown dissociation offers innovation and improvement in treatment strategies. A sum score of ≥ 16 may be applied to classify the dissociative subtype in the presence of PTSD, and a sum score of 8 indicates pathological dissociative symptoms.

Languages

The Shutdown Dissociation – Scale (Shut-D) is available in English, German, Latin American Spanish, French, and Swahili.

Correspondence to:

Dr. Inga Schalinski, Dr. Maggie Schauer
University of Konstanz
Clinical Psychology, Konstanz, Germany
inga.schalinski@uni-konstanz.de, maggie.schauer@uni-konstanz.de



– vivo.org

– clinical-psychology.uni-konstanz.de

Literature

- Schalinski, I., Schauer, M., & Elbert, T. (2015). The Shutdown Dissociation Scale (Shut-D). *European Journal of Psychotraumatology*, 6.
- Schauer, M., & Elbert, T. (2010). Dissociation following traumatic stress: etiology and treatment. *Journal of Psychology*, 218, 109–127. doi: 10.1027/0044-3409/a000018

Further Literature

Further Literature

- Baumann, M., Zwissler, B., Schalinski, I., Ruf-Leuschner, M., Schauer, M., & Kissler, J. (2013). Directed forgetting in post-traumatic-stress-disorder: a study of refugee immigrants in Germany. *Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience*, 7. doi:10.3389/fnbeh.2013.00094
- Fiess, J., Steffen, A., Pietrek, C., & Rockstroh, B. (2013). Belastungen in der Kindheit und dissoziative Symptomatik bei Patienten mit psychischen Störungen. [Childhood adversities and dissociative symptoms in patients with psychological disorders]. *Zeitschrift für Klinische Psychologie und Psychotherapie*, 42(1), 24–33. doi:10.1026/1616-3443/a000183
- Isele, D., Teicher, M. H., Ruf-Leuschner, M., Elbert, T., Kolassa, I. T., Schury, K., & Schauer, M. (2014). KERF – ein Instrument zur umfassenden Ermittlung belastender Kindheitserfahrungen. [KERF—An instrument for measuring adverse childhood experiences: Construction and psychometric evaluation of the German MACE (Maltreatment and Abuse Chronology of Exposure) scale]. *Zeitschrift für Klinische Psychologie und Psychotherapie*, 43(2), 121–130. doi:10.1026/1616-3443/a000257
- Schalinski, I., Elbert, T., & Schauer, M. (2011). Female dissociative responding to extreme sexual violence in a chronic crisis setting: the case of eastern Congo. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 24, 235–238. doi:10.1002/jts
- Schalinski, I., Elbert, T., & Schauer, M. (2013). Cardiac defense in response to imminent threat in women with multiple trauma and severe PTSD. *Psychophysiology*, 50, 691–700. doi:10.1111/psyp.12051
- Schalinski, I., Moran, J., Schauer, M., & Elbert, T. (2014). Rapid emotional processing in relation to trauma-related symptoms as revealed by magnetic source imaging. *BMC Psychiatry*, 14(1), 193. doi:10.1186/1471-244X-14-193
- Schalinski, I., Moran, J., Reindl, V., Elbert, T., & Wienbruch, C. (2017). Oscillatory Magnetic Brain Activity is Related to Dissociative Symptoms and Childhood Adversities – A Study in Women with Multiple Trauma. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2017.05.009
- Schalinski, I., & Teicher, M. H. (2015). Type and Timing of Childhood Maltreatment and Severity of Shutdown Dissociation in Patients with Schizophrenia Spectrum Disorder. *PloS*, 10(5), e0127151. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0127151
- Schalinski, I., Teicher, M. H., Nischk, D., Hinderer, E., Müller, O., & Rockstroh, B. (2016). Type and Timing of Adverse Childhood Experiences Differentially Affect Severity of PTSD, Dissociative and Depressive Symptoms in Adult Inpatients. *BMC psychiatry*, 16(1), 295. doi: 10.1186/s12888-016-1004-5
- Schauer, M., Neuner, F., Elbert, T. (2005/2011 2nd Edition) *Narrative Exposure Therapy (NET). A Short-Term Intervention for Traumatic Stress Disorders*. Cambridge/Göttingen: Hogrefe & Huber Publishers

Absender: _____

Adresse des/der Testautoren:

Rückmeldung über die Anwendung eines Verfahrens aus dem Elektronischen Testarchiv des Leibniz-Zentrums für Psychologische Information und Dokumentation (ZPID) an den/die Testautoren

Sehr geehrte/r Herr/Frau

ich möchte Ihnen hiermit mitteilen, dass ich das unten näher bezeichnete Verfahren aus dem Elektronischen Testarchiv des ZPID in einer Forschungsarbeit eingesetzt habe. Im Folgenden finden Sie dazu nähere Erläuterungen.

Thema der Arbeit:
.....
eingesetztes Testverfahren:
.....
Publikation geplant in:
.....

Datum Unterschrift