

Continuous-time state-space modelling of delinquent behaviour in adolescence and young adulthood

Sina Mews

Joint work with Roland Langrock, Marius Ötting, Houda Yaqine & Jost Reinecke

\rightsquigarrow investigate adolescents' delinquency over time

Panel study Crime in the Modern City

- period: 2002-2017
- students in 7th grade (mostly 12–13 years old)
- repeatedly interviewed over 16 years (with 1–4 years between observations)
- self-administered questionnaires on various offences
- variable of interest: total number of offences
- ~> temporal persistence?!



Data

Inclusion of participants who committed \geq 1 offences within study period:

- 12,327 observations from 1,093 adolescents (467 male & 626 female)
- 72.6% of observations: no delinquent behaviour
- 1–160 offences committed within 12 months (median: 3)



Data characteristics & modelling challenges

- 1. nonlinear relationship between age & number of offences → model effect of age nonparametrically (using B-splines)
- 2. delinquency level is assumed to ...
 - be a latent trait underlying the observed trajectories
 - change gradually over time
 - \rightsquigarrow use state-space model (SSM)
- 3. observations are irregularly spaced in time ~ formulate model in continuous time

continuous-time SSM

Basic model structure



Model specification

Observation process:

 $\begin{aligned} Y_t &\sim \text{NegBinom}(\nu_t, \phi), \\ \log(\nu_t) &= S_t + f_1(\text{age}_t) + f_2(\text{age}_t) \cdot \text{gender}_t \end{aligned}$

with
$$f_i(age_t) = \sum_{l=1}^8 \omega_{i,l} C_l(age_t)$$





Model specification

State process:



- Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (OU) process
 (continuous-time analogue of the AR(1) process)
- \leadsto delinquency level is persistent over time and changes gradually

OU process: varying $\beta > 0$



OU process: varying $\sigma > 0$



State process

OU process

conditional distribution:

$$S_{t+\Delta t}|S_t = s \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\exp(-eta \Delta t)s, \quad rac{\sigma^2}{2eta}(1-\exp(-2eta \Delta t))
ight)$$

limiting distribution:

$$S_t \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, rac{\sigma^2}{2eta}
ight)$$

Likelihood evaluation

$$\mathcal{L}_{T} = \int \dots \int p(y_{1}, \dots, y_{T}, s_{1}, \dots, s_{T}) ds_{T} \dots ds_{1}$$
$$= \int \dots \int p(s_{1}) p(y_{1}|s_{1}) \prod_{\tau=2}^{T} p_{\Delta_{\tau}}(s_{\tau}|s_{\tau-1}) p(y_{\tau}|s_{\tau}) ds_{T} \dots ds_{1}$$

intractable integration over all possible realisations of the state process at each observation time

~ discretisation of state space (Kitagawa, 1987):

- divide possible range $[b_0, b_m]$ into *m* intervals $B_i = (b_{i-1}, b_i), i = 1, ..., m$ of length $(b_m b_0)/m$
- let b_i^* denote the midpoint of B_i

$$\hspace{1.5cm} \rightsquigarrow \quad \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{T}} \approx \sum_{i_{0}=1}^{m} \ldots \sum_{i_{\mathcal{T}}=1}^{m} p(s_{1} \in B_{i_{0}}) p(y_{1} | s_{1} = b_{i_{0}}^{*}) \prod_{\tau=2}^{T} p_{\Delta_{\tau}}(s_{\tau} \in B_{i_{\tau}} | s_{\tau-1} = b_{i_{\tau-1}}^{*}) p(y_{\tau} | s_{\tau} = b_{i_{\tau}}^{*})$$

Likelihood evaluation

approximation reframes SSM as an *m*-state hidden Markov model (HMM; Langrock, 2011)
 HMM forward algorithm:

$$\mathcal{L} pprox \delta \mathbf{P}(y_1) \Big(\prod_{ au=2}^T \Gamma_{\Delta_{ au}} \mathbf{P}(y_{ au}) \Big) \mathbf{1}$$

- $\boldsymbol{\delta} = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_m)$ with $\delta_i = \boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{s}_1 \in \boldsymbol{B}_i) \rightsquigarrow \text{OU}$ limiting distribution
- diagonal matrix $\mathbf{P}(y_{\tau})$ with *i*-th entry $p(y_{\tau}|s_{\tau} = b_i^*) \rightsquigarrow$ neg. binomial distribution
- transition probability matrix $\Gamma_{\Delta_{\tau}} = (\gamma_{ij}^{\Delta_{\tau}})$ with $\gamma_{ij}^{\Delta_{\tau}} = p_{\Delta_{\tau}}(s_{\tau} \in B_j | s_{\tau-1} = b_i^*) \rightsquigarrow OU$ conditional distribution

Results: state process

- setimated parameters of **OU process**: $\beta = 0.222, \sigma = 1.489$
- \rightsquigarrow limiting distribution: $\mathcal{S}_{ au} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 2.23^2)$



■ model without underlying state process: △AIC : 1372

Results: covariate effects



results overall correspond to the current state of research (e.g. Reinecke Weins, 2013)

Results: decoded states



due to underlying delinquency levels, individuals' trajectories deviate from the overall age trend

Discussion

main result:

temporal persistence in the deviation of an individual's delinquency level from population mean

(possible) future work:

- inclusion of additional covariates
- consider heterogeneity between adolescents

general continuous-time SSM framework:

- allows for non-Gaussian & non-linear specifications of the state & observation process
- offers convenience of continuous-time HMM framework
- suited for sequential data irregularly spaced in time
- \leadsto great flexibility

References

- Kitagawa (1987), Non-Gaussian state-space modeling of nonstationary time series. Journal of the American Statistical Association.
- Langrock (2011), Some applications of nonlinear and non-Gaussian state-space modelling by means of hidden Markov models. *Journal of Applied Statistics*.
- Reinecke & Weins (2013), The development of delinquency during adolescence: a comparison of missing data techniques. *Quality & Quantity*.

Preprint: Mews et al. (2020), Maximum approximate likelihood estimation of general continuous-time state-space models, *arXiv*.

Thank you!